


formerly the UTS review



culturalstudies
review




EDITORIAL BOARD


IEN ANG *Sydney*, RUTH BARCAN *Sydney*, TONY BENNETT *Milton Keynes*, JODY BERLAND *Toronto*, CHRIS BERRY *London*, MARCUS BREEN *Chapel Hill*, ANNE BREWSTER *Sydney*, MARION CAMPBELL *Melbourne*, DIPESH CHAKRABARTY *Chicago*, ROSS CHAMBERS *Ann Arbor*, KUAN-HSING CHEN *Hsinchu*, NAIFEI DING *Taipei*, SIMON DURING *Baltimore*, ROSS GIBSON *Sydney*, DAVID GOODMAN *Sydney*, HELEN GRACE *Hong Kong*, LAWRENCE GROSSBERG *Chapel Hill*, GHASAN HAGE *Sydney*, JOHN HARTLEY *Brisbane*, GAY HAWKINS *Sydney*, CHRIS HEALY *Melbourne*, VILSONI HERENIKO *Honolulu*, JACKIE HUGGINS *Brisbane*, JANE JACOBS *Edinburgh*, LALEEN JAYMANNE *Sydney*, LESLEY JOHNSON *Sydney*, NOEL KING *Sydney*, MARCIA LANGTON *Melbourne*, JENNY LEE *Melbourne*, AMANDA LOHREY FALMOUTH *Tasmania*, ADRIAN MARTIN *Melbourne*, BRIAN MASSUMI *Montreal*, JENNA MEAD *Hobart*, PHILIP MEAD *Hobart*, AILEEN MORETON-ROBINSON *Brisbane*, MEAGHAN MORRIS *Sydney and Hong Kong*, PHILIP MORRISSEY *Melbourne*, STEPHEN MUECKE *Sydney*, TOM O'REGAN *Brisbane*, SUVENDRINI PERERA *Perth*, ELSPETH PROBYN *Sydney*, ZOE SOFOULIS *Sydney*, LESLEY STERN *San Diego*, JON STRATTON *Perth*, GRAEME TURNER *Brisbane*, THEO VAN LEEUWEN *Sydney*, MCKENZIE WARK *New York*, ROB WILSON *Santa Cruz*

Former Editors (2002–2006) CHRIS HEALY, STEPHEN MUECKE

Founding Editors (The UTS Review 2005–2002) MEAGHAN MORRIS, STEPHEN MUECKE



history experiments



culturalstudies
review

VOL.14 NO.1 MAR 2008 edited by john frow and katrina schlunke

Editors JOHN FROW & KATRINA SCHLUNKE

Reviews Editor RUTH BARCAN

Managing Editor ANN STANDISH

Thanks to Anne Brennan, Mark Byron, Clare Corbould, Martin Harrison, Chris Healy, Roger Hillman, Ross Gibson, Laleen Jayamanne, Michelle Langford, Meg Mumford, Julien Murphett, Klaus Neumann, Katrina Schlunke and Deane Williams.

Cover Design University of Melbourne Design and Print Centre

Cover Image iStockphoto.com

Typesetting Syarikat Seng Teik Sdn. Bhd., Malaysia

Printing University of Melbourne Design and Print Centre

Cultural Studies Review (formerly *The UTS Review*) is a refereed journal published twice yearly by Melbourne University Publishing. *Cultural Studies Review* acknowledges the financial support of the University of Technology, Sydney, and the University of Melbourne. For subscription details see end pages.

EDITORIAL & SUBSCRIPTION OFFICE

Cultural Studies Review

School of Culture and Communication

The University of Melbourne, Victoria 3010

Australia

E <csreview@unimelb.edu.au>

W <www.csreview.unimelb.edu.au>

PUBLISHER & DISTRIBUTOR

Melbourne University Publishing Ltd

PO Box 1167, Carlton, Victoria 3053

Australia

T +61 3 9342 0300 F +61 342 0399

E <mup-info@unimelb.edu.au>

W <www.mup.unimelb.edu.au>

© Copyright in each piece belongs to the author

© Copyright in the collection belongs to John Frow and Katrina Schlunke

PRINT AND POST APPROVED PP 3345638 0002

ISSN 1446-8123

contents—history experiments

-
- Co-edited by TARA FORREST
- 7 Editorial—KATRINA SCHLUKE & JOHN FROW
- ESSAYS
- 11 Introduction: ‘A Fragmented Stew of “Themes” and “Issues”’—TARA FORREST
- 19 But Is It History?—KLAUS NEUMANN
- 33 A Touching and Contagious Captain Cook: Thinking History through
Things—STEPHEN MUECKE
- 43 Captain Cook Chased a Chook—KATRINA SCHLUNKE
- 55 Historical Fiction and the Allegorical Truth of Colonial Violence in
The Proposition—FELICITY COLLINS
- 72 The Pitfalls of Picturing Atlantic Slavery: Steven Spielberg’s *Amistad* vs Guy
Deslauriers’s *The Middle Passage*—LARS ECKSTEIN
- 85 Experience – Information – Image: A Historiography of Unfolding. Arab Cinema as
Example—LAURA U. MARKS
- 99 Letters from Beirut—LAURA U. MARKS
- 111 The Presence of Palestinian Absence in Narrating the Zionist Nation into
Being—ANNIE PFINGST
-

-
- 124 The Challenge of Film Considered as Historical Research—OLAF BERG
- 137 ‘Good Building’: Bertolt Brecht’s Utopian Historical Optimism at the End of World War II—GERHARD FISCHER
- 147 ‘There Was Nothing to Say and Nobody Said It’: Silence, Disconnection and Interruptions of Gertrude Stein’s Writing Voice during World War II—RUTH WALKER
- 161 Resurrecting Absence: Susan Howe’s *A Bibliography of the King’s Book Or, Eikon Basilike* and the Historically Unspoken—JESSICA WILKINSON
- 179 Palpable History—ROSS GIBSON
- 187 Participating in the Past: Recording Lives in Digital Environments—PAUL LONGLEY ARTHUR
- REVIEWS
- 203 CRISTYN DAVIES on *Cultural Studies’ Affective Voices*
- 209 FRANCES BONNER on *Framing Celebrity*
- 215 MEREDITH JONES on *The Body in Question: A Socio-Cultural Approach*
- 220 FELICITY COLMAN on *Locating Memory: Photographic Acts*
- 225 CHARLES MCPHEDRAN on *New Cultural Studies: Adventures in Theory*
-

editorial

KATRINA SCHLUNKE AND JOHN FROW

Thinking and writing about the past, challenging what 'history' might be and how it could appear, is an ongoing interest of this journal and an ongoing (sometimes contentious) point of connection between cultural studies and history.

The shifts in how we research and write the past is no simple story of accepted breakthroughs that have become the new norms nor is it a story where it is easy to identify what the effects of cultural studies' thinking upon the discipline of history has been. History has provided its own challenges to its own practices in a very robust way. Cultural studies challenges what the past is and how it might be rendered from a wide ranging set of ideas and modes of representation that have less to do with specific disciplinary arguments than responses to particular modes (textual, filmic, sonic), particular sites (nations, Indigenous temporalities, sexuality, literature, gender) and perhaps a greater willingness to accentuate the political in the historical. When a cultural studies practitioner turns to the past they take with them an intellectual assumption that the method of research and the mode of its representation will be related and that what is 'past' can never be decided upon.

We render the past in the context where we find it. History is no longer only found in paper archives but in the very making of alternate archives. The expansion of what history could be about is well appreciated and profitably packaged under the advantageous but circumscribed heading 'cultural history'. The word cultural here refers certainly to the expanded archive and mostly to a contextual and particular account of a cultural phenomenon or thing but not at all to the critical creative practices of reading and therefore writing history, nor to the radical questioning of historical method that a 'cultural' history should suggest. This is 'cultural' history without the cultural turn. Hence, perhaps, the particular need for

'experimental' histories where more than an extended archive is at work and where history can be speculative and adventurous.

Who can forget the pleasure of the strangeness of seeing something from somewhere else? Think about the description of the ways in which rope cables were protected at sea in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries by 'laying the platts' through 'worming', 'keckling' and 'rounding' and learning that the tarring on these ropes weakened them by about one tenth. How do we mark these words against the present, knowing representation *per se* will fail? Worming, keckling and rounding faintly recalling some rural treatment of sheep, physically reshaping our mouths to new/old sounds and not quite resolving the experience into the knowledge of words used to describe cable protection in the seventeenth and eighteenth century. Can we make histories that are active engagements with the present or will we be one more stalled Charon marooned on the Styx, giving no rest to already dead facts? What kind of writing will let the strange familiarity of words like 'worming' or 'keckling' in this context keep their attraction and refusal to be known without a resolution of that tension into a knowable past? Or, as Butler identifies this paradox—how to show the 'the past is irrecoverable and the past is not past'.

History still seems to stall on its seductive promise of knowing and being seen to be knowledge. No book of history can ever be too dull to be worthy nor too finely focused to be totally obscure—these are fine legacies. But where are the fleshly, wordy, anecdotal needles to the skin that erupt into embodied queries and skunk hour style? History comes to us out of sound and film and all the other media and yet these forms seem not to have permanently impinged on either history telling or thinking. So very long ago Barthes and White connected for us the ways in which history is written to the form of the novel and to history being itself a particular construction of writing. This knowledge still seems to come and go within how we write our histories—readily acknowledged and yet not regularly acted upon. But in other modes, in film and the television of Kluge, as Tara Forrest points out, the thinking/doing of what is history seems always present. It is here that the importance of the word *experimental* arises. In venturing something, in failing and in an awareness of the productiveness of the discourse that is history, the mark of the past upon us becomes the point of possible other histories.

Tara Forrest, the guest editor of this edition, provides an excellent overview of both the traditions of experimental history and the particular enactments you will find within this volume. It was a pleasure working with Tara on this edition and we look forward to seeing future submissions to the journal that might continue to challenge and add to this thinking about the past and history.

